

\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8082/2016

THE DIRECTOR GENERAL, ESIC HEADQUARTERS & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Geeta Luthra, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Saneev
Sahay, Ms. Shivani Lohiya Luthra, Mr. Prateek
Yadav, Advocates.

versus

UDAI VEER SINGH & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sagar Saxena with Mr. Rabi Kumar, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

ORDER

% **09.11.2017**

This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India by the petitioners against the order dated 06.05.2015 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, by which the O.A. filed by the respondent was allowed.

Ms. Geeta Luthra, learned Senior Counsel, on the basis of instructions of Mr. Sahay, submits that the order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal dated 06.05.2015 has been complied with inasmuch as three posts have been created. She further submits that promotional channels to higher grade have also been created for the respondents. In view thereof, it is contended that the writ petition is not pressed.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that after appropriate information is received, in case the respondents have any grievance, they would seek such remedy in accordance with law.

The writ petition as well as C.M.APPL33506/2016 is dismissed in view of the statement made.

G.S.SISTANI, J

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

NOVEMBER 09, 2017/ck/