

\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1298/2017**

SIDDHARTH KASANA & ORS Petitioners
Through : **Ms. Vandana Anand, Adv.**

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondents
Through : **Ms. Mallika Parmar for Ms. Richa Kapoor, ASC**
Mr. Avinash Trivedi with Ms. Ritika Trivedi, Adv. along with Ms. Harleen Singh, SPA holder of Complainant. SI Sandeep Sharma, P.S. Vasant Kunj, North

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

30.08.2017

It is submitted that petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 23.03.2017 (Annexure-B), therefore, FIR No. 308/2014 under Sections 420/468/471/120-B IPC registered at Police Station Vasant Kunj (North) on the complaint of respondent no. 2, may be quashed.

Ms. Harleen Singh, Attorney of respondent no. 2 is present in Court along with her counsel and has been identified by SI Sandeep Sharma, P.S. Vasant Kunj (North). Attorney of respondent no. 2 has identified signatures

of respondent No.2 on all pages of Annexure-B at point 'X'. Petitioners have paid ₹ 23 lacs to the attorney of respondent No. 2 vide two demand drafts, photocopies whereof have been placed on record. Attorney of respondent No. 2 admits that respondent No. 2 has already received ₹ 10 lacs out of total amount of ₹ 33 lacs. Attorney of respondent No. 2 says she has instructions from respondent No. 2 to convey to Court that respondent No. 2 has no objection in case FIR is quashed. FIR is an outcome of a commercial transaction between respondent No. 2 and petitioners.

Keeping in view the settlement arrived at between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 23.03.2017, in the interest of justice, FIR No. 308/2014 under Sections 420/468/471/120-B IPC registered at Police Station Vasant Kunj (North) and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

Petition is disposed of in the above terms. Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J

AUGUST 30, 2017
SRwt