

\$~40.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1301/2017**

RAM SAJILA YADAV

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. G.S. Vasisht, Advocate

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Mehra, Standing Counsel
and Mr. Tushar Sannu, Advocate for
the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

02.05.2017

%

Issue notice. Mr. Mehra accepts notice on behalf of the State. Respondent no.4 is also present. The petitioner has preferred the present writ petition to seek a writ of habeas corpus to seek a direction to the State to produce his daughter Sunita. The petitioner states that respondent no.4 has abducted his daughter Sunita, who is 20 years of age.

Sunita is present in court with respondent no.4. We have interacted with Sunita in chamber and she states that she has married respondent no.4 out of her own free will and that she wishes to reside with respondent no.4.

In view of the aforesaid position, since Sunita has been produced, this petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

MAY 02, 2017

sr