

\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1219/2017**

RAVI BHUSHAN SHARMA & ORS. Petitioners

Through: Mr. Faimuddin Advocate with
Mr.Lalit Kumar Jha, Advocate along with
petitioner No.1 in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajesh Mahajan, ASC for the
State with ASI Vedpal, P.S. Jyoti Nagar,
Delhi.

Mr.Rajan Sharma, Advocate for R-2 along
with respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER

%

22.08.2017

VINOD GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Status report has been filed on behalf of the State.
2. Respondent No.2 is also present. She is being represented by her counsel. She is duly identified by the IO ASI Vedpal.
3. The petitioners have invoked the writ jurisdiction of this court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (in short 'Cr.PC') for quashing of the FIR bearing No.70/2017, registered on 21.02.2017 with Police Station Jyoti Nagar, North East, Delhi,

under Sections 406 of IPC and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 lodged on the complaint of respondent No.2.

4. The petitioner No.1 was engaged to marry with respondent No.2 through one mediator Jag Roshan i.e. petitioner No.6. Their *Roka* ceremony was held on 11.12.2016 at Durga Mandir, Durga Puri Chowk, Shahdara, Delhi. Subsequently, certain differences and disputes arose between the parties due to which engagement to marry was broken down on 19.12.2016.
5. The petitioners No.2 and 3 are the parents of petitioner No.1, petitioners No.4 and 5 are the sisters of the petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.6 is the mediator.
6. The respondent No.2 lodged the said FIR on 21.02.2017 subsequent to the breaking down of the engagement to marry.
7. Thereafter, the parties had on 3.4.2017 before the learned Mediator, Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma, arrived at a settlement by which the petitioner No.1 had agreed to return one gold ring and one gold chain to respondent No.2 before the concerned court on 5.4.2017.
8. Pursuant to the said settlement, the petitioner No.1 had returned both the gold articles. Respondent No.2 present in the court states that she had received both the gold articles from the petitioner No.1. She submits that she does not want to pursue the said FIR. She submits that in view of the settlement FIR may be quashed.
9. Learned Additional Standing Counsel through the I.O. submits

that the charge sheet has not been filed.

10. The parties have amicably settled their all disputes and no purpose would be served in further pursuing with the FIR bearing No.70/2017, registered on 21.02.2017 with Police Station Jyoti Nagar, North East, Delhi, under Sections 406 of IPC and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961. Hence, to secure ends of justice, the FIR bearing No.70/2017, registered on 21.02.2017 with Police Station Jyoti Nagar, North East, Delhi, under Sections 406 of IPC and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 and proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.
11. The petition is disposed of.

VINOD GOEL, J.

AUGUST 22, 2017/sandeep