

\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1621/2017**

HARJIT SINGH

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Lakhmi Chand, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP with SI
Ram Kumar, original IO, SI
Sunil Sidhu, PS Parliament
Street.
Mr. Navin Kr. Thakur, Adv. for
R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

24.04.2017

%

CrI.M.A. 6578/2017

Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 1621/2017

By the present petition the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No. 153/2014 under Section 354A IPC registered at PS Parliament Street, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

Learned APP for the State on instructions from Investigating Officer submits that in the above-noted FIR the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 the only complainant/ victim.

CRL.M.C. 1621/2017

page 1 of 3

Respondent No. 2 is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigating Officer. She states that she has settled the matter with the petitioner vide memorandum of understanding dated 19th April, 2017 copy whereof is annexed at pages 59 to 60 of the paper book. She states that in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties she does not wish to pursue the above-noted FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Petitioner who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel affirms the statement of respondent No.2. He states that he will abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties and having apologized for the act assure that no such misbehaviour will take place in future. In order to show remorse he undertakes to pay a cost.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No. 153/2014 under Section 354A IPC registered at PS Parliament Street, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed subject to a cost of ₹15,000/- to be paid by the petitioner to the Bar Council of Delhi Advocates Welfare Fund within four weeks.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

APRIL 24, 2017
'ga'