

\$~45.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3509/2017**

IFB INDUSTRIES LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aseem Mehrotra, Advocate with
Mr. M.K. Gandhi, Advocate

Versus

COMMISISONER, VALUE

ADDED TAX & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Satyakam, Advocate

**CORAM: JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR**

ORDER

24.04.2017

%

1. Notice. Mr. Satyakam, Advocate accept notice for the Respondent.
2. The issue in this petition concerns the failure by the Respondent DVAT Department to issue “C” form. It is accepted the counsel on both sides that the issue stands answered in favour of the Petitioner and against the Department by the decision of this Court in *Ingram Micro India Pvt. Ltd. v. Commissioner, Department of Trade & Taxes (2016)89 VST 312 (Del)*.
3. The only explanation offered by the DVAT Department for not yet complying with the said decision in these cases is that the DVAT Department has filed Special Leave to Appeal (C) No. 295 of 2017 against the said decision in the Supreme Court.
4. The Court has seen the order dated 27th March, 2017 passed by the

Supreme Court in the above SLP. While by the said order leave has been granted and the matter has been fixed for final hearing in the month of July 2017, there is no stay granted of the decision of this Court.

5. Therefore, the DVAT Department is bound to comply with the binding directions issued by the Court in ***Ingram Micro India Pvt. Ltd.*** (*supra*).

6. This writ petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in ***Ingram Micro India Pvt. Ltd.*** (*supra*) by directing that the DVAT Department will issue to the Petitioner the requisite 'C' form. In the event the DVAT Department desires that an Indemnity Bond should be furnished, it will communicate such requirement to the Petitioner not later than two weeks from today and proceed issue the said C forms not later than three weeks from today.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

APRIL 24, 2017

'anb'