

\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1244/2017**

SUNIL @ NATA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms.Sunita Arora, Adv.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Piyush Singhal, Adv. for

Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, ASC for State

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I.S.MEHTA

ORDER

%

11.05.2017

Vide this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks parole for a period of three months in order to enable him to search a suitable life partner for himself and to re-establish social ties with the society and his family.

It is submitted by the counsel appearing on behalf of the State that the petitioner is not entitled for parole due to unsatisfactory jail conduct.

Status report perused.

The present petition is without any merit. I do not find it a fit case to grant parole to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed and disposed of accordingly.

I.S.MEHTA, J

MAY 11, 2017/radhika