$~48

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

\+ W.P.(C) 3501/2017

KUMAR ENTERPRISES. ..... Petitioner
Through: Mr. Arnuj Jain, Advocate

versus

COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES ..... Respondent
Through: Ms. Deboshree Mukherjee, Advocate with Mr. Ratan Ram, VATO

CORAM: JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

O R D E R

% **25.04.2017**

CM No.15301/2017

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

WP(C) No. 3501/2017

2. Notice. Ms. Deboshree Mukherjee, Advocate accepts notice.

3. The only reason why the refund which was processed way back in December, 2014 was not actually issued was purportedly on account of the Petitioner not furnishing its correct bank details. Learned counsel for the Petitioner points out that the correct bank details were furnished way back on 15th April, 2015 and the Petitioner has not heard since then from the Respondent/Department. The Value Added Tax Officer, who is present in Court, states that nothing else, by way of compliance, is required to be done

by the Respondent/Department.

4. In that view of the matter, the Court notes that not later than two weeks from today, the Respondent/Department will ensure that the refund, together with interest accrued thereon, is paid into the account of the Petitioner. If there is any non-compliance with this direction, it will be open to the Petitioner to seek appropriate remedies in accordance with law.

5. The petition is disposed of.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

APRIL 25, 2017
tp