

43# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1854/2017

MADHUBALA DEVI

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Mahaling Pandarye,
Advocate.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP for the
State with Inspector Nipun
Kumar, PS Shakarpur, Delhi.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

08.05.2017

Crl. M.A. No. 7589/2017 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 1854/2017

1. At the outset learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the petition with liberty to file a fresh one in case on a preliminary assessment under Section 15 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act the Board comes to the conclusion that the respondent No.2 is required to be tried in a Children's Court and not before the Juvenile Justice Board.
2. Leave and liberty granted.
3. Petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MAY 08, 2017

'vn'