

4# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 283/2017**

SITAC RE PVT. LTD.

..... Plaintiff

Represented by: Ms. Diya Kapur, Advocate.

versus

ERNST & YOUNG LLP

..... Defendant

Represented by: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

24.10.2017

I.A. No. 12212/2017 (under Order XXIII Rule 3 CPC r/w Section 151 CPC)

1. At the outset learned counsel for the plaintiff states that the present application be treated as one under Order XXIII Rule 1 CPC since the application has been filed by the plaintiff seeking withdrawal of the suit in terms of the settlement.
2. Application is treated as one under Order XXIII Rule 1 CPC.
3. Application is disposed of permitting the plaintiff to withdraw the suit.

CS(COMM) 283/2017

1. Since I.A. No. 12212/2017 is supported by the affidavit of Mr. Arjun Singh Puri, the authorised signatory of the plaintiff, suit is disposed of as withdrawn.

2. Court fee be returned to the plaintiff under Section 16A of the Court Fee Act.

I.A. No. 4694/2017 (under Order II Rule 2 (3) CPC)

Application is disposed of as infructuous.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

OCTOBER 24, 2017
‘vn’

