

\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4520/2015 & C.Ms.8184-85/2015 & 12106/2016**

JAMIL KHAN & ORS Petitioners

Through: Mr. R.B. Singh and Mr. Arun
Kumar Kaushik, Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondents

Through: Mr. B.S. Shukla, CGSC with Mr.
Brajesh Kumar, Advocate for respondent-UIO
Mr. Siddhartha Panda, Advocate for
respondent-L&B/LAC
Mr. Mrinalini Sen Gupta and Ms. Sarita Panda,
Advocates for respondent-DDA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. GAUBA

ORDER

%

28.08.2017

The writ petition in the present form is not maintainable because of the conflicting pleadings. Respondents clearly state that in respect of the lands in question compensation was paid to the petitioner. The petitioner who had included large tracts of land without disclosing in respect of which compensation was received, has proceeded to file a rejoinder, which has added to the confusion.

In these circumstances, the writ petition is dismissed with liberty to petitioners to approach the Court with the proceedings that correctly reflect the factual position. The writ petition is therefore dismissed

alongwith the pending applications in above terms.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

R.K. GAUBA, J

AUGUST 28, 2017

S