

\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.L.P. 477/2016**

SADHNA GUPTA & ORS Petitioners
Through: Mr.Vibhor Garg, Mr. Sumit Kumar
Mihsra and Mr. Rishabh, Advocates.

Versus
STATE & ORS Respondents
Through: Mr.Ravi Nayak, Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State with
Inspector Sanjay Dutt, Special Cell.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER
% **20.07.2017**

The present leave petition has been instituted on behalf of the complainant, who happens to be the widow of the deceased victim.

Mr.Garg, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the leave petitioner, states that in view of the circumstance that the State too has preferred a leave petition being Crl. L.P. No.650/2016, titled as “State (Govt. of NCT of Delhi) Vs. Afroz @ Feroz @ Pehlwan”, against the order impugned herein, he will not press this leave petition if liberty is granted to the complainant to assist the Court at the time when the connected leave petition filed by the State is taken up for hearing.

Leave and liberty is granted.

The leave petition is disposed off whilst reserving liberty to the complainant to assist the Court at the time of hearing the said connected leave petition filed by the State.

SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J.

NAJMI WAZIRI, J.

JULY 20, 2017
sb