

\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1602/2017

SHASHI

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr. Nitish Chaudhary, Adv. for
Mr. Chetan Lokur, Advocate

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through

Mr. Ashok K.Garg, Addl. PP for State
with SI Antriksha Alok, P.S.Nabi
Karim

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

%

24.05.2017

By the petition, the petitioner-convict seeks modification of the order dated 19.12.2016 passed by the Jail authorities granting second spell of current conviction furlough for a period of two weeks. In sum and substance, the grievance of the petitioner is that he was unable to furnish surety of Rs.5,000/ along with the personal bond.

Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that the furloughs are granted by the jail authorities year wise and that, the subject grant of furlough was for the year 2016 only. Of course, order dated 19.12.2016 also speaks of the grant for second spell of current conviction year furlough, which is 2016 only. When that is so, the instant petition, as of now, has become infructuous. Of course, the petitioner would be at liberty to apply for furlough afresh for the current conviction year, as per the norms. Since the petitioner has not

been able to avail furlough during the last conviction year, though granted vide order dated 19.12.2016, the jail authorities shall take into account the constraints of the petitioner in meeting the conditions of release on furlough, during the current conviction year. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

Copy of the order be sent to the convict as also the Jail Supdt.

A. K. CHAWLA, J

MAY 24, 2017/mw