

\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1675/2016**

SANDHYA PANDEY

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manish Gandhi, Advocate

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, APP with SI Rajeev,
PS Mehrauli

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER

%

16.05.2017

Status report has been seen. The record of investigation of the FIR no.2888/2015 of police station Mehrauli under Section 306 IPC has been perused. Though it appears that the bail granted to co-accused Toshi Vasistha, daughter of the applicant herein was not on application for release on anticipatory bail but after her arrest, there seems to be a good case of parity.

The case concerns suicidal death of Dhruv Pandey, a young person of 25 years age, hanging himself by the neck on 16.03.2015. The deceased left behind a suicide note. The suicide note primarily accused Toshi Vasistha of having "used" the deceased person, after having enticed him into a love affair and extorted money over the period.

Though there are allegations of the applicant being not only privy but party to the design, the matter would require detailed investigation which would take quite some time.

By earlier order, interim protection was granted on 23.08.2016 and the applicant was directed to join and cooperate with the investigation. The learned additional public prosecutor, on instructions, confirms that the applicant has been joining investigation as and when called upon to do so.

In these circumstances, the application is allowed. It is directed that in the event of the petitioner being arrested, she shall be released on bail on she furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to the conditions that she shall continue cooperating with the investigation and join the same as and when called upon to do so and shall not come in contact with or try to influence any of the witnesses connected to the case.

It is made clear that this order will inure only till the date of first appearance of the petitioner in the event of a charge-sheet being filed on conclusion of the investigation and process being issued against her by the court of cognizance.

Dasti.

R.K.GAUBA, J

MAY 16, 2017

yg

BAIL APPLN. 1675/2016

page 2 of 2