

\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 618/2016 & CM Nos.41133/2016, 41135-41136/2016

FEDERATION GHAZI PUR SUBZI MANDI & ORS

..... Appellants

Through: Mr. D.N. Gobhurdun with
Mr. Prateek Yadav, Advs.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS Respondents

Through: Ms. Manika Tripathy Pandey with
Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advs. for State.
Ms. Avnish Ahlawat with Ms. Palak Rohmetra,
Advs. for R-2 & 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

%

04.09.2017

This Court is of the opinion that the dispute sought to be urged should not be subject matter of writ proceedings.

Learned counsel submitted that in the circumstances, liberty be reserved to approach the appropriate forum, such as, the Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum but without further observations with respect to the possible delay.

In view of the fact that W.P.(C) No.594/2011 is pending for some time, the appellant is entitled to claim the benefit of Section 14 of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986 before the concerned forum. If such an

application is made, the same would be decided obviously in accordance with law.

The appeal is disposed of in the above terms.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

SEPTEMBER 04, 2017
kks