

\$~23.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 3215/2017**

SATVIR

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Pandey, Advocate.
versus

**ASSISTANT COLLECTOR GRADE-II CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES & ORS.**

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Peeyoosh Kalra, ASC & Mr.
Sudhindra Tripathi, Advocate for respondent Nos.
4 and 5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA

ORDER

%

13.04.2017

The petitioner has adequate alternative remedy in the form of appeal before the Appellate Tribunal wherein all pleas, which are raised in the present petition, can be raised.

The petitioner has raised disputed questions of fact on the question of loan, etc. We would not like to comment or go into the said factual dispute in view of the adequate alternative remedy.

With the aforesaid observations, we dismiss the writ petition without commenting and adjudicating the merits. All aspects are left open.

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

ANU MALHOTRA, J.

APRIL 13, 2017

VKR /NA