

\$-33 to 38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 105/2013 & Crl.M.A. 425/2013

RAGHAVAN RAMANUJAM

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tanveer Ahmad, Mr. Arjun
Mahajan, Mr. Rohan Garg, Mr. Puneet
Relan & Mr. Satyam Chaudhary,
Advs.

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, APP for the State,
Mr. Suderhsan Rajan Mr. Arjun
Gadhoke & Mr. Ghanshyam Bhati,
Advs. for R-2/complainant.
Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Adv. for UOI.

+ CRL.M.C. 107/2013 & Crl.M.A. 428/2013

CAPTAIN AM KARANDIKAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tanveer Ahmad, Mr. Arjun
Mahajan, Mr. Rohan Garg, Mr. Puneet
Relan & Mr. Satyam Chaudhary,
Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, APP for the State,
Mr. Suderhsan Rajan Mr. Arjun
Gadhoke & Mr. Ghanshyam Bhati,
Advs. for R-2/complainant.

+ CRL.M.C. 448/2013 & Crl.M.A. 1437/2013

AJAY B.NAIK

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rohit Rao N. & Mr. Devahuti
Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, APP for the State.
Mr. Suderhsan Rajan Mr. Arjun
Gadhoke & Mr. Ghanshyam Bhati,
Advs. for R-2/complainant.

+ W.P.(CRL) 199/2011& CrI.M.A. 1680/2011

A M KARANDIKAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tanveer Ahmad, Mr. Arjun
Mahajan, Mr. Rohan Garg, Mr. Puneet
Relan & Mr. Satyam Chaudhary,
Advs.

versus

UOI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Adv. for UOI.
Mr. Suderhsan Rajan Mr. Arjun
Gadhoke & Mr. Ghanshyam Bhati,
Advs. for complainant.

+ W.P.(CRL) 1819/2012

AJAY B. NAIK

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rohit Rao N. & Mr. Devahuti
Advs.

versus

UOI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Adv. for UOI.
Mr. Suderhsan Rajan Mr. Arjun
Gadhoke & Mr. Ghanshyam Bhati,
Advs. for R-2/complainant.

+ W.P.(CRL) 1899/2010 & CrI.M.A. 21524/2010

RAGHVAN RAMANUJAM

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tanveer Ahmad, Mr. Arjun
Mahajan, Mr. Rohan Garg, Mr. Puneet
Relan & Mr. Satyam Chaudhary,
Advs.

versus

UOI AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Adv. for UOI,
Mr. Suderhsan Rajan Mr. Arjun
Gadhoke & Mr. Ghanshyuam Bhati,
Advs. for complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER

% **19.05.2017**

After some hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioners in this batch of cases submitted, on instructions, that they may be permitted to withdraw these petitions with liberty to raise the contentions and defences urged here before the Trial Magistrate at the stage of framing of notice under Section 251 Cr.P.C., particularly on the question of validity of the sanction under Section 188 Cr.P.C., sufficiency of the evidence and the question of limitation.

The learned counsel for the respondent-State submits he has nothing to say in the matter.

The petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

MAY 19, 2017

nk


R.K.GAUBA, J