

\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7661/2016**

CENTRAL BOARD OF TRUSTEES EMPLOYEES P.F.

ORGANISATION

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Rajesh Kumar and Ms.Santavana,
Advocates

versus

SURYA ENTERPRISES

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Vikas Sood, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA

ORDER

%

21.07.2017

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted the certified copy of the order dated 3.11.2016 of the Employees Provident Fund appellate Tribunal, New Delhi in ATA No. 344(4)/2016 whereby the orders dated 20.11.2015 and 27.1.2016 of the EPFT having been set aside by the EPFAT.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner as was also on behalf of the respondent on the last date of hearing, i.e., 18.7.2017, nothing survives in the present petition.

In view of the order of the EPFAT in ATA No.344(4)/2016, the petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

ANU MALHOTRA, J

JULY 21, 2017/sv