

\$~9, 19, 20 & 21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7849/2016 and CM No. 32466/2016**

SHUBH GOEL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Rohit Goel, Mr Anil Goel and Mr
Gaurav Singh, Advocates.

versus

TABLE TENNIS FEDERATION OF INDIA Respondent

Through: Mr Rajiv Garg, Mr Sandeep Bagai
and Ms Neelam, Advocates.

WITH

+ **W.P.(C) 5387/2016**

ADITYA DOBRIYAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Jayant Pawar, Advocate.

versus

TABLE TENNIS FEDERATION OF

INDIA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Rajiv Garg, Mr Sandeep Bagai
and Ms Neelam, Advocates.

WITH

+ **W.P.(C) 5388/2016**

TAVISHI BANSAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Jayant Pawar, Advocate.

versus

TABLE TENNIS FEDERATION OF

INDIA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Rajiv Garg, Mr Sandeep Bagai
and Ms Neelam, Advocates.

AND

+ **W.P.(C) 5436/2016**

TARUSHI BANSAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Jayant Pawar, Advocate.

versus

TABLE TENNIS FEDERATION OF INDIA

(TTFI) & ORS

..... Respondents

13

Through: Mr Rajiv Garg, Mr Sandeep Bagai
and Ms Neelam, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

13.11.2017

1. The learned counsel for the respondents points out that the principal grievance of the petitioners was with regard to the guidelines that were issued on 23.03.2015. It is stated that now revised guidelines have been issued and a copy of the same has been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioners.
2. In view of the above, the learned counsel for the petitioners seek to withdraw the present petitions with liberty to file afresh, if any cause survives.
3. The petitions and the pending application are dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.



VIBHU BAKHRU, J

NOVEMBER 13, 2017
RK