

\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 458/2016

SOHAIL KHAN

..... Petitioner

Through : Ms. Sangita Bhayana, Adv.

versus

E.O.U (IV) C.B.I.

..... Respondent

Through :Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, SPP with Mr.
Manoj Bhandari, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

% **20.07.2017**

By following the law laid down in the case of M. Balasubramanian vs. State 2012 (2) Crimes 401, a Bench of coordinate jurisdiction vide order dated 18th September, 2014 passed in Crl. Revision Petition no. 17/2013 titled Sohail Khan vs. State through CBI and Crl. Revision Petition no. 20/2013 titled Sohail Khan vs. State through CBI along with other connected Revision Petitions, has directed that accused (petitioner) can be permitted to undergo the period in default of payment of fine in each of the offences concurrently.

I do not find any reason not to follow the same principle in the present

Revision Petition. Accordingly, it is ordered that sentence awarded to the petitioner in this case, in default of payment of fine for each of the offences, shall run concurrently.

Revision Petition is disposed of. Miscellaneous application is disposed of as infructuous. Copy of this order be sent to the Jail Superintendent, Tihar, New Delhi.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

JULY 20, 2017

rb