

\$~44

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 3098/2017 & CMs 13485-13487/2017

SADHU VASWANI INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL FOR GIRLS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Santosh Krishnan, Ms.Sonakshi
Malhan, Mr.V.Siddharth, Advs.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Rizwan, Adv. for Mr.S.K.Tripathi,
ASC, GNCTD

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

ORDER

%

11.04.2017

CM 13486-87/2017

Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Applications are disposed of.

W.P.(C) 3098/2017 & CM 13485/2017

The present petition has been filed with the following prayers:-

“(a) Declare that order No.F.DE-15/ACT-1/WPC-4109/Part/13/256-260 dated 26.12.2016 impugned herein and passed by the respondent no.2 is arbitrary and illegal and accordingly liable to be set aside.

(b) Consequently, direct respondents to allow petitioner’s application dated 31.05.2016 regarding fee hike for the academic year 2016-17 (Annexure P/7);

(c) In the alternative, direct respondent no.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner school in a time bound manner, in accordance with law.;

(d) Pass any other order or orders as this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

It is put to Mr.Rizwan, learned counsel appearing for the respondents as to whether the respondents shall be able to dispose of the representation of the petitioner school dated 30th January, 2017, in terms of prayer (c) above. His answer is in affirmative. Accordingly, the respondent no.2 shall decide the representation dated 30th January, 2017 and also treating the writ petition as an additional representation within four weeks from today.

If the petitioner is still aggrieved by the order to be passed by the respondent no.2, it would be at liberty to seek remedy as available in law by taking all pleas as available to the petitioner both on facts and in law. The petition is disposed of.

CM 13485/2017

Dismissed as infructuous.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

APRIL 11, 2017
RN