

\$~43.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RC.REV. No.225/2017**
SUDHIN DAS Petitioner
Through: Mr. A.K. Tripathi, Adv.
versus
SIDHARTH SANTRA Respondent
Through: Mr. J.K. Jain, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

22.09.2017

CM No.34996/2017 (of the petitioner for continuation of stay order).

1. Vide order dated 19th September, 2017 the stay of order of eviction impugned in this petition was vacated owing to the counsel for the petitioner, after obtaining interim order, evading to argue the Revision Petition.
2. Today also the counsel for the petitioner was asked to argue the Revision Petition and though has started arguing the Revision Petition but after some time states that he is not prepared.
3. Dismissed.

RC.REV. No.225/2017.

4. The counsel for the petitioner states that he will file written arguments by tomorrow i.e. 23rd September, 2017 and does not need to argue in person.
5. The counsel for the respondent has handed over in Court the copies of the Trial Court record not filed by the petitioner.
6. Order reserved.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

SEPTEMBER 22, 2017/'pp'..