

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

8.

+

W.P.(C) 3026/2017

SUPAKAN MUANDEJ

..... Petitioner

Through: Mrs. Anjali Jha Manish and
Mr. Sagar Rohtagi, Advocates.

Versus

PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS(AIRPORT) & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Narula and Mr. Abhishek
Ghai, Advocates.

CORAM: JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

%

10.04.2017

1. Since the filing of the present petition, the Petitioner has returned to Bangkok.
2. Consequently, the main relief sought in the present petition that a counsel should be permitted to be present during her interrogation by the Officers of the Respondents, does not arise.
3. As regards the concern that an adjudication order should be passed within the statutory time prescribed, Mr. Sanjeev Narula, Advocate, who appears on advance notice on behalf of the Respondents, states that the Respondents will act in accordance with law.
4. No further orders are called for in this petition at this stage. All the

contentions of the Petitioner regarding her detention etc. are left open to be urged at the appropriate stage if the necessity so arises.

5. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

S.MURALIDHAR, J.

NAJMI WAZIRI, J.

APRIL 10, 2017

sb