

\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7051/2010**

PRADEEP SHARMA AND ANR Petitioner
Through **Mr. Arjun Harkauli, Advocate.**

versus

AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIA Respondent
Through **Mr. Digvijay Rai, Advocate.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

%

08.08.2017

C.M. No.5435/2017

The respondents have filed the present application to place on record additional affidavit.

Application is allowed and the additional affidavit is taken on record.

W.P.(C) 7051/2010

As per the additional affidavit of Harish Mehta, Assistant General Manager (HR) with the Airports Authority of India filed by the respondents, the issue with regard to notification of Recruitment and Promotion Guidelines, 2005 has been examined. Ministry of Civil Aviation had referred the matter to Ministry of Law and Justice, and the Legislative Department of the said Ministry has given their opinion affirming that the aforesaid Regulations should be notified and published in the Official Gazette and draft of the Regulations may

be forwarded to the Ministry for consideration and notification. This decision was approved by the Competent Authority on 19th January, 2017.

Paragraph 13 of the affidavit records that the Airports Authority of India has decided to process the Regulations for notification and the same would be sent to the Ministry of Civil Aviation within eight months from 19th January, 2017. Eight months are going to end in September, 2017.

Counsel for the respondents states that they would process the case for notification expeditiously.

We take the affidavit on record and dispose of the present writ petition as the petitioner, as is recorded in the order dated 8th August, 2016, had pressed for notification of the aforesaid Regulations.

In view of the stand and stance now adopted by the respondents, no further orders are required to be passed. We would clarify that the Regulations referred to above include the amendments made by the respondents from time to time. We also clarify that we have not examined other issues and aspects for the petitioners have not pressed for the same. The writ petition is disposed of.

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J.

AUGUST 08, 2017
NA