

\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 986/2017

RAVI@ ASHU

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tarunesh Kumar with Ms.
Rishina Parashar, Advs.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI Respondent

Through: Richa Kapoor, ASC
SI Pankaj Saroha, P.S. Nangloi

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

% **16.05.2017**

The representation of the petitioner for being released on parole for a specified period for finding a suitable life partner for himself, for affording treatment to his ailing mother and for re-establishing social ties, was rejected by the competent authority on 21.07.2016. Adverse police report and unsatisfactory jail conduct have been cited as reasons for rejecting the prayer of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this court to the nominal roll which reveals that the petitioner has been convicted under Section 302 IPC and has been sentenced to undergo RI for life and to pay a fine of Rs.5,000/- and in default of payment of fine to further suffer SI for 6 years.

The petitioner has already remained in jail for about more than 10 ½ years. On earlier occasions, the petitioner was granted furlough and parole

and on all such occasions, the petitioner had surrendered before the jail authorities on time.

The conduct of the petitioner, it has been submitted, has been satisfactory but for one punishment on 27.05.2015 for a jail offence. The nominal roll does not state as to what was the offence for which the petitioner was convicted. Be that as it may, it has been submitted that more than two years have passed after he was last punished.

The address of the petitioner has been verified. The mother of the petitioner is ill and requires medical attentions, though no specific ailment has been found out by the verifying authority.

However taking into account the aforesaid facts, this court is persuaded to release the petitioner on parole for a specified period for the aforesaid purposes.

Let the petitioner be released on parole for a period of four weeks, to be counted from the date of his release, on his furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of the concerned jail.

The petitioner shall abide by the conditions listed below:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) The petitioner would not leave, under any circumstance, the National Capital Region of Delhi, without seeking permission of the officer-in-charge of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his and his surety's mobile telephone numbers to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity

he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

The order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned jail for compliance and record.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

MAY 16, 2017/ns