

\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **ARB.P. 232/2017**

ASHOK SHARMA & ASSOCIATES PRIVATE LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Abhijeet, Ms. Priyanaka Sharma
and Ms. Anusuya Sinha, Advocates

versus

DELHI METEO RAIL CORPORATION LIMITED

..... Respondent

Through Mr. Rajdip Behura, Ms. Garima
Singh, Mr. Philomon Kani and Ms.
Kriti Handa, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

08.05.2017

At the outset the learned counsel for the respondent has handed over a list of approved conciliators/arbitrators and states that the respondent would not have any objection if the petitioner nominates its arbitrator from this list.

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner nominates J. Mukul Mudgal (Retd.) former Chief Justice, Punjab & Haryana High Court as its arbitrator. The learned counsel for the respondent confirms that the respondent would nominate its arbitrator within a period of one week from today.

Once, the respondent nominates its Arbitrator, both the Arbitrators would jointly nominate the third Arbitrator. In the event

the arbitrators are unable to nominate the third arbitrator, the parties would be at liberty to approach this Court. It is also clarified that in case the respondent fails to nominate its arbitrator within a period of one week from today, the petitioner is at liberty to revive the present petition for appointment of an arbitrator on behalf of the respondent.

With these directions and clarifications, the petition is disposed of.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

MAY 08, 2017

sm