

\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(c) 257/2017 & C.M.No. 18774/2017**

DCM LIMITED Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gaurav Mitra, Mr. Pratik
Mitra, Mr. Vaibhav Mishra & Ms.
Rashmi, Advocates

Versus

S K BHANDARI Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Arora & Ms. Diksha Lal,
Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER
17.05.2017

%

Vide order of 6th January, 2017, respondent was directed to decide petitioner's representation of 22nd January, 2016. Counsel for petitioner has handed over a copy of order of 20th April, 2017 vide which petitioner's representation has been decided.

In view of aforesaid, this Court finds that this contempt petition has become infructuous and so, the date of 21st July, 2017 fixed in this petition is cancelled and the hearing is preponed for today, as in the application filed by petitioner [C.M. 18774/2017] a direction is sought to restrain the respondent from acting upon Eviction Notice of 12th May, 2017, which has been annexed as Annexures P-2 to this application. Vide aforesaid Eviction Notice of 12th May, 2017, petitioner has been directed

to close commercial activity within 48 hours and submit a reply. It is not in dispute that the reply to the Eviction Notice of 12th May, 2017 has been given by petitioner.

In the facts and circumstances of this Case, it is deemed appropriate to direct respondent not to act upon Eviction Notice of 12th May, 2017 for a week from today to enable the petitioner to have recourse to law against order of 20th April, 2017.

With aforesaid directions, this petition and application are disposed of.

Dasti.

(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

MAY 17, 2017
r