

7

\$~2,3,4,5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 375/2016**

TATA TELESERVICES

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Varrun Pandia with Ms. Shalini
Prasad, Advocate

versus

**ACHIEVERS FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS PRIVATE
LIMITED**

..... Respondent

Through: None

+ **ARB.P. 376/2016**

TATA TELESERVICES

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Varrun Pandia with Ms. Shalini
Prasad, Advocate

versus

JCL TEleshopping

..... Respondent

Through: None

+ **ARB.P. 377/2016**

TATA TELESERVICES

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Varrun Pandia with Ms. Shalini
Prasad, Advocate

versus

SKYLOGIC TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE LIMITED..... Respondent

Through: None

+ ✓ **ARB.P. 379/2016**

TATA TELESERVICES LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Varrun Pandia with Ms. Shalini
Prasad, Advocate

versus

ARB.P. 375-377/2016 & 379/2016

1 of 2

ACHIEVERS FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS PRIVATE
LIMITED

..... Respondent

Through: None


CORAM: JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

ORDER

%

25.01.2017

1. Despite three attempts, the Respondents have not been served. All the service reports have stated either that "addressee left" or "no such firm at the given address".
2. In that view of the matter, keeping these petitions pending will not serve any purpose. The Petitioner should make an effort to ascertain the correct present addresses of the Respondents and thereafter, apply to revive the prayers in these petitions.
3. The petitions are disposed of.



S.MURALIDHAR, J

JANUARY 25, 2017/P