

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

20

+

W.P.(C) 2783/2017

RADHA KRISHNA ENTERPRISES

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vineet Bhatia, Advocate.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Naunidh S. Arora with Mr. Hardik Bedi, Advocates.

CORAM:

JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

%

26.04.2017

1. It is stated that the refund order has been passed.
2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner states that the account number mentioned in the refund order is erroneous. The correct account number be furnished by the Petitioner to the VATO concerned forthwith to enable him to make necessary correction in the refund order.
3. If the Petitioner has any grievance as regards the calculation of interest, it may approach the VATO first and thereafter seek appropriate remedies in accordance with law.
4. The writ petition is disposed of in the above terms.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

APRIL 26, 2017/Rm