$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ **RSA 124/2017 and C.M. Appl. Nos. 15394-95/2017**

VINAY D TIWARI ..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Pawan Kumar Bahl, Advocate.

versus

DDA & ORS ..... Respondents

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

O R D E R

% 24.04.2017

1. This appeal is disposed of as not pressed as counsel for the appellant says that appellant in the pending SLP before the Supreme Court will seek appropriate directions that since it is the appellant, as per the case of the appellant, who deposited money with DDA for the suit property, it is the appellant who will be entitled at least to refund of that money or adjustment against the mesne profits in the present case.

2. The appeal is accordingly disposed of as not pressed with the aforesaid observations.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

APRIL 24, 2017

AK