

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 1759/2013

HYEONG GI KIM

..... Plaintiff

Through

Mr. Amit Bansal, Advocate

versus

HARI CHAND ANAND & CO..... Defendant

Through

Ms. Mamta R. Jha with Mr. Manish
Kumar Mishra, Advocates**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN****ORDER**

%

31.08.2017**I.A. 17699/2015**

Present application has been filed by the learned counsel for the plaintiff seeking discharge on the ground that he is receiving no instructions.

A perusal of the order sheet reveals that the notice of the present application has been served through e-mail upon the plaintiff as per the affidavit of service filed.

Moreover, for the last nearly two years the matter is being repeatedly adjourned as the plaintiff is not pursuing the case.

Consequently, present application is allowed and the counsel for the plaintiff is discharged.

CS(OS) 1759/2013 & CRL.M.A. 2053/2014, I.A. 14565/2013

Since none has appeared for the plaintiff, the present suit and pending applications are dismissed in default and on account of non-prosecution.

C.C. 10/2015

Learned counsel for the defendant/counter claimant states that in view of the dismissal of the present suit, she does not wish to press the counter-claim at this stage. She, however, states that in the event the plaintiff asserts its claim in future, the defendant may be granted liberty to press the counter-claim at that stage.

With the aforesaid liberty, present counter-claim stands disposed of.

MANMOHAN, J

AUGUST 31, 2017

rn