

\$~R-54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7218/2007

HARSH SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION & ORS.

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Adv. for R-9.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **25.01.2017**

1. No one appears for the petitioner. No one even appeared for the petitioner on 8.10.2012 when the matter was directed to be listed in turn.

The writ petition is therefore dismissed in default and for non-prosecution.

2. Let no application for restoration/recall of this order be entertained by this Registry of this Court unless costs of Rs.20,000/- are first paid to the counsel for the respondent no.9, and which order is passed in exercise of powers under Order XXV Rule 1 CPC read with Section 141 CPC by which the provision of Order XXV applies even to interim proceedings, noting that underlying principles of this provision apply because strictly otherwise CPC does not apply to writ petition.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

JANUARY 25, 2017/ib