

\$~20 & 21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2905/2011 & CrI.M.A. 10293/2011

BASIT ALI & ORS

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. S.P. Jha, Adv.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Hirein Sharma, App with
SI R.S. Pandit, PS Preet Vihar.
Mr. J.K. Dhingra, Adv. for R-2.

+ CRL.M.C. 1776/2011 & CrI.M.A. 6389/2011

BASIT ALI & ORS

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. S.P. Jha, Adv.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Hirein Sharma, App with
SI R.S. Pandit, PS Preet Vihar.
Mr. J.K. Dhingra, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

30.03.2017

%

After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners states that since material witnesses have been examined and he was contesting the order amending the charge, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petitions and he will take remedies before the learned Trial Court by filing

appropriate applications, if need be, to recall the witnesses.

Petitions and applications are dismissed as withdrawn.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MARCH 30, 2017
‘v mittal’