

S-24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2562/2017 & CM Nos.11083-84/2017

YOG RAJ

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anish Agarwal & Mr. Avi
Tandon, Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Saroj Bidawat, Advocate for
respondent No.1
Mr. Naresh Kaushik & Ms.
Shriambhra, Advocates for UPSC

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

%

21.03.2017

Learned counsel for the respondent/UPSC, who appears on advance notice, submits that this writ petition is not maintainable, in view of the decision of the Supreme Court in *L. Chand Kumar v. Union of India, 1997 (2) SCR 1186*. The petitioner is questioning the selection/recruitment process and also the vires of the age requirements, as stipulated in the Examination Notice No.06/2017-CSP dated 22.2.2017 and Regulation 4 of the Indian Administrative Service (Appointment by Competitive Examination), Regulation, 1995.

2

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would like to withdraw the present writ petition and approach the Tribunal. The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as prayed for, without expressing any opinion on merits.

4-11-17

SANJIV KHANNA, J

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

MARCH 21, 2017

tp