

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CONT.CAS(C) 402/2016

RAJESH ARORA

Through

..... Petitioner

Mr. Dheeraj Kumar with Ms. Neeti
Sahu, Advocates

versus

ARUN GOEL

Through

..... Respondent

Mr. Nikhil Goel with Mr. Ashutosh
Ghade, Advocates for DDA.**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN****ORDER**

%

10.03.2017

Learned counsel for respondent-DDA states that now a policy with regard to allotment of scooter/car garage has been framed. Today in Court, he has handed over a photocopy of the Agenda note as well as the Minutes of Meetings of respondent-DDA held on 18th November, 2016 and 10th February, 2017. The same are taken on record.

Learned counsel for respondent-DDA assures and undertakes to this Court that the new policy shall be given effect to within three months.

He also clarifies that the statement made on 27th October, 2016 was not confined to the petitioner but refers to implementation of the proposed allotment policy to the public at large.

The aforesaid statements and assurances given by learned counsel for respondent-DDA are accepted by this Court and DDA is held bound by the same.

Keeping in view the aforesaid, present contempt petition is disposed of as satisfied. However, petitioner is given liberty to seek revival of the present petition in the event the policy is not given effect to within the aforesaid stipulated period.

MANMOHAN, J

MARCH 10, 2017

rn