

\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 827/2017

VINEET SURI Petitioner

Through: Mr.Dhan Mohan, Adv.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) Respondent

Through: Mr.Archit Vashishta, Adv. for
Ms.Nandita Rao, ASC.
SI Rajendra Dhaka, P.S.Vikaspuri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

% **01.08.2017**

The petitioner had requested the competent authority for being released on parole for the purposes of repairing his house which was in bad shape. Since the request was not being acted upon, the petitioner came before this Court vide the present writ petition. During the pendency of the writ petition, the competent authority passed an order on 27.04.2017 rejecting the prayer of the petitioner for being released on parole. The reason assigned in the order which has been brought on record on behalf of the State is that six months had not elapsed from the date of termination of the previous parole.

The petitioner was on parole for four weeks from 06.10.2016 to 03.11.2016. A copy of the nominal roll indicates that out of a sentence of five years for the offence under Section 498A and 306 IPC, the petitioner

has remained in jail for about more than four years by now. Though the petitioner was punished for a jail offence but it was only in the year 2015. Thereafter, the petitioner was released on parole a number of times.

The status report indicates that the house of the petitioner requires minor repairs as there is only a seepage. However, taking into account the fact that six months have elapsed from the date of termination of the last parole and nothing adverse was reported against the petitioner after 2015 when he was punished for a jail offence, this Court feels inclined to release the petitioner on parole for a period of four weeks, to be counted from the date of his release, on his furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction Superintendent of the concerned jail.

The petitioner shall abide by the conditions listed below:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) The petitioner would not leave, under any circumstance, the National Capital Region of Delhi, without intimating the officer-in-charge of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his and his sureties' mobile telephone numbers to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

The order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned jail for compliance and record.

Dasti.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

AUGUST 01, 2017

k