

\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **EX.P. 53/2016**
BHARAT BHUSHAN BHASIN & ANR

..... Decree Holders

Through Mr. M.K. Miglani and Mr. Jithin M.
George, Advs.

versus

SURINDER KUMAR BHASIN & ANR

..... Judgement Debtors

Through Ms. Anusuya Nigam and Mr. Nishant
Nigam, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

ORDER

% **28.04.2017**

The additional affidavit of the deponent/judgment-debtor Surinder Kumar Bhasin is on record. In para 5 it is categorically stated that the order dated 23.11.2015 passed in C.C. No.440/2015 has been fully complied with; the goods which were lying in 7 cartons were destroyed by pouring acid on them and they have been removed from the judgment-debtor's premises by giving them away to scrap dealers; none of the products remain with him. This statement has been made on the affidavit of the judgment-debtor. Learned counsel for the judgment-debtor submits that the decree in favour of the decree-holder stands fully satisfied and the order passed in C.C. No. 440/2015 also stands complied with.

This position is not disputed by the learned counsel for the decree-holder. He, however, submits that since the decree-holder has

been relegated to such a long drawn litigation even after the decree has been passed in his favour, he seeks certain compensation. This Court notes that this is a dispute inter-se the brothers. In the course of the contempt proceedings, the decree-holder had been compensated with Rs.20 lacs. I do not think any further orders are required to be passed on this aspect.

Decree having been satisfied, this execution is disposed of.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

APRIL 28, 2017

A