

\$~41.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 318/2016 and Crl.M.B. No.677/2016 and Crl.M.A. No. 5238/2016

M/S IYER & SONS PVT LTD & ANR

..... Appellants

Through: Mr. J.C. Mahindro, Advocate.

versus

C B I

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Nikhil Goel & Mr. Ashutosh Ghade, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

12.01.2017

%

Mr. Mahindro points out that the two appellant companies have already gone into liquidation even before conclusion of the trial. The Official Liquidator has been appointed in respect of both the companies. Therefore, this appeal could not have been maintained at the instance of Vijay Kumar Gupta. Mr. Mahindro submits that the appeal has been preferred since recovery of the fine imposed on the said companies has been sought to be made from Vijay Kumar Gupta. He submits that Vijay Kumar Gupta was named as accused No.4. He was acquitted in the case.

In view of the aforesaid, this appeal is not competent and is,

accordingly, dismissed. It shall be open to Vijay Kumar Gupta to take appropriate steps if he has any grievance. Dasti.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

JANUARY 12, 2017

B.S. Rohella