

\$~26 to 31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1164/2017

NEMI CHAND JAIN @ CHANDRA SWAMI Petitioner
Through Mr. Anurag, Advocate

versus

ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE Respondent
Through Mr. Amit Mahajan, CGSC

27

+ CRL.M.C. 1165/2017

NEMI CHAND JAIN @ CHANDRA SWAMI Petitioner
Through Mr. Anurag, Advocate

versus

ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE Respondent
Through Mr. Amit Mahajan, CGSC

28

+ CRL.M.C. 1166/2017

NEMI CHAND JAIN @ CHANDRA SWAMI Petitioner
Through Mr. Anurag, Advocate

versus

ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE Respondent
Through Mr. Amit Mahajan, Advocate

29

+ CRL.M.C. 1167/2017

NEMI CHAND JAIN @ CHANDRA SWAMI Petitioner
Through Mr. Anurag, Advocate

2

versus

ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE Respondent
Through Mr. Amit Mahajan, Advocate

30

+ CRL.M.C. 1168/2017

NEMI CHAND JAIN @ CHANDRA SWAMI Petitioner
Through Mr. Anurag, Advocate

versus

ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE Respondent
Through Mr. Amit Mahajan, Advocate

31

+ CRL.M.C. 1169/2017

NEMI CHAND JAIN @ CHANDRA SWAMI Petitioner
Through Mr. Anurag, Advocate

versus

ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE Respondent
Through Mr. Amit Mahajan, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

25.07.2017

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by these petitions, petitioner had challenged the condition of deposit of ₹10 lacs imposed by the Trial Court while granting permission to the petitioner to travel abroad. However, the petitioner has died,

4
-
therefore, the petitions have become infructuous.

In view of the above, abovenoted petitions are disposed of as infructuous.


A.K. PATHAK, J

JULY 25, 2017

mw