

\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.REV.P. 200/2017
GOPI NATH SHARMA Petitioner
Through Mr.S.C. Malhotra, Adv.

versus

KALPANA SHARMA & ORS Respondents
Through

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I.S.MEHTA

ORDER
% **21.03.2017**

Crl. M.A. 4764/2017

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the delay in filing the petition is condoned.

Application stands disposed of.

Crl. M.A. 4765/2017

Exemption granted, subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

Crl. Rev. P. 200/2017

Heard.

In the present petition, the petitioner is the husband and the respondent No.1 is the wife and out of the said wedlock two children (respondent Nos.2 & 3) were also born. The respondent No.1 does not reside with her husband i.e. petitioner. Further, the respondent Nos.2 & 3, who are minors, are residing with respondent No.1 i.e. their mother/natural guardian.

On bare perusal, I do not find any infirmity in the impugned order and

the same requires no interference by this Court.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

I.S.MEHTA, J

MARCH 21, 2017/km