

\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2296/2017 and CM APPL. 9885/2017**

SIDDHARTHA MOHAN Petitioner
Through: Mr Gaurav Goel and Mr Siddharth.
Mohan, Advocates.
versus

SAKET BAR ASSOCIATION AND ANR Respondents
Through: Mr D. Rajeshwar Rao and Mr
Abhinav Srivastava, Advocates
alongwith Mr Pramod Saxena, Senior
Judicial Asstt. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

% **15.11.2017**

1. The principal controversy that arises in this case is whether the petitioner's membership number pertaining to his membership of Saket Bar Association is S-1603 or S-964?
2. The petitioner's application for allotment of chamber at Saket Court Complex had been rejected, *inter alia*, on the basis that his membership number is S-1603, which did not exist at the cut of date.
3. The petitioner claims that his membership number is S-964 and has referred to the electoral roll for 2010, which indicates his name against the said membership number. On the other hand, respondent no.2 has filed a copy of the seniority list provided by Saket Bar Association, which indicates the petitioner's membership as S-1603.
4. None appears for respondent no.1 and it is also seen that respondent

no.1 has not filed a counter affidavit.

5. In view of the above, respondent no.1 is directed to furnish an affidavit to respondent no.2 clarifying the position as to the petitioner's membership number and the date on which the petitioner was accepted as a member of the association. Let the said affidavit be filed within a period of four weeks from today.

6. The learned counsel appearing for respondent no.2 states that the petitioner's representation for non-allotment of a chamber is pending consideration. Respondent no.2 shall consider the same and also while doing so also consider the clarification that may be submitted by respondent no.1 (as directed above).

7. The petition is disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

NOVEMBER 15, 2017
RK