

34

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CONT.CAS(C) 230/2017 & CM APPL. 10909/2017

JAGDISH KAUR PARMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Kanika Sharma, Advocate with
Mr. Pravin Sharma and Mr. Prannav
Sharma, Advocates.

versus

PUNEET GOEL, SOUTH DELHI

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ajay Digpaul, Advocate for SDMC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

ORDER

%

20.03.2017

Present contempt petition has been filed alleging that the respondent-SDMC had made a false statement before a Coordinate Bench in W.P.(C) 822/2016.

It is further averred that respondent No.2 has once again commenced illegal construction.

In the opinion of this Court, if according to the petitioner a false statement had been made, then the remedy lies in filing a perjury application and not a contempt petition.

Further, if fresh unauthorised construction has been carried out by respondent No.2, it constitutes a fresh cause of action.

Consequently, present contempt petition and application are disposed of with liberty to the petitioner, if she so desires, to file appropriate legal proceedings. Rights and contentions of all parties are left open.

MANMOHAN, J

MARCH 20, 2017

js