

1# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 587/2016**

PUNEET

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Vimal Puggal, Advocate
with petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, APP
for the State with SI Anju, PS
Moti Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

23.02.2017

%

1. By the present petition the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 83/2016 under Sections 354D and Section 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (in short 'POCSO Act') registered at PS Moti Nagar.

2. The allegations of the complainant are that while on bail the petitioner followed and misbehaved with her. Initially the complainant and the petitioner were having a love affair however, since the complainant did not want to go forward she asked the petitioner for closing the relationship. The petitioner however, threatened her that in case she breaks the friendship, he would commit suicide and thus she was forced to send messages.

3. The petitioner is present in Court. He states that since the complainant does not wish to continue with the relationship, he would also

BAIL APPLN. 587/2016

Page 1 of 2

not press her for the same and no threats will be given in future. He assures that there will be no cause of grievance to the complainant in future.

4. Considering the assurance given by the petitioner this Court deems it fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. It is, therefore, directed that in the event of arrest the petitioner be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/SHO concerned, further subject to the condition that he will join the investigation as and when directed by the Investigating Officer.

5. Petition is disposed of.

6. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

FEBRUARY 23, 2017
‘vn’