

\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 816/2016**

RAJAT PRAKASH SINGHAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Wadhwa, Adv. with
Mr. Nikhil Mehta, Adv. &
Mr. Himanshu Nailwal, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. R.S. Kundu, ASC (Crl.) for the
State.

Ms. Shashi Juneja, Standing Counsel
for R-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER

%

15.05.2017

The counter affidavit of the State of Chhattisgarh (respondent no.4), *inter alia*, states that the local investigating police having concluded that the appropriate jurisdiction to be invoked would be Delhi or Kota (Rajasthan).

The counsel for the petitioner submits that for the present he does not press the petition at hand, though reserving his contentions as urged in these proceedings.

The petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

R.K.GAUBA, J.

MAY 15, 2017

vk