

\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 688/2017

NAVEEN DABAS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Neeraj Bhardwaj, Adv.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Rahul Mehra, Standing Counsel
with Mr.Jamal Akhtar and Ms.Smita
Dutta, Advs.
SI Sachin, P.S.Begumpur.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

% **16.05.2017**

Crl.M.A.8140/2017

The petitioner had approached this Court vide W.P(Crl.) 688/2017 wherein, on the statement of the learned standing counsel that furlough application, pending before the competent authority, would be decided expeditiously, the writ petition was not pressed and was disposed of accordingly.

This confusion arose because the advocate for the petitioner was not aware and he wrongly stated that furlough application was pending when actually, a parole application was pending.

Be that as it may, this Crl.M.A is not maintainable in a disposed of writ petition.

The petitioner, if so advised, could prefer a fresh writ petition impugning the order of the competent authority whereby his prayer for being released on parole has been rejected.

Dismissed with the liberty as aforesaid.

The order be communicated to the petitioner in jail through the Superintendent of the concerned jail.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

MAY 16, 2017

k