

\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 746/2017

RAM @ RAM DASS

..... Petitioner

Through :Ms. Manika Tripathy Pandey and
Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advs.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through :Mr. Rahul Mehra, Standing Counsel
(Crl.) with Mr. Jamal Akhtar, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

09.03.2017

Petitioner seeks parole for taking care of his mother and also for maintaining social ties. It is stated in para 8 of the petition that petitioner's mother is suffering from various old age diseases. Petitioner's 11 years old son is living with petitioner's mother.

Address of the petitioner has been verified by the State.

Learned Standing Counsel submits that jail conduct of the petitioner is not satisfactory. I find that petitioner was even granted furlough from time to time by the D.G. (Prison) and lastly in the month of May/June, 2016, for two weeks. He surrendered late by three days only.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner had

surrendered three days late since he had miscalculated the period of two weeks. Punishment was given to the petitioner only on account of the late surrender. It is not the case that he jumped the parole and had to be re-arrested.

Keeping in mind the totality of circumstances, it is ordered that petitioner be released on parole for a period of one month from the date of his release, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of Jail Superintendent. On completion of parole period, petitioner shall surrender before the Superintendent Jail.

Writ petition is disposed of in the above terms. Copy of the order be sent to the Jail Superintendent for serving it upon the petitioner and for compliance.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

MARCH 09, 2017

rb