

\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 598/2013**

SATPAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vikram Sharma, Adv.

Versus

BHARAT PRAKASH GUPTA

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Renuka Arora & Ms. Nikita
Salwan, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

04.08.2017

CM No.27629/2017 (of the parties under Order XXIII Rule 3 of the CPC)

1. The counsels support the application.
 2. They state that this petition was filed by the petitioner / tenant against the order of eviction of the petitioner / tenant passed by the Rent Control Tribunal in appeal against the order of the Additional Rent Controller of dismissal of the petition for eviction under Section 14(1)(a) and (c) of the Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958 filed by the respondent / landlord. It is further stated that the petitioner / tenant has since settled with the respondent / landlord and vacated the tenancy premises and the parties are now left with no rights/claims against each other.
 3. Allowed and disposed of.
- CM(M) 598/2013**
4. Dismissed as infructuous.
 5. The date earlier given of 24th October, 2017 is cancelled.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

AUGUST 04, 2017/'gsr'..