

§~44 & 45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 866/2017**

HIMANSHU

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Lokesh Kumar and Mr. S.S.
Bhati, Advs.

Versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Dr. M.P. Singh, APP for the State
with SI Sunita Kumar, P.S. Delhi
Cantt.
Respondent no.2 in person.

AND

CRL.M.C. 867/2017

AJAY KUMAR & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Braham Singh, Mr. N.S. Vidhudi,
Mr. Rohit Vidhudi and Ms. Manju,
Advs.

Versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Dr. M.P. Singh, APP for the State
with SI Sunita Kumar, P.S. Delhi
Cantt.
Respondent no.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

03.03.2017

Vide above-noted petitions, petitioners have prayed for quashing of
the two cross-FIRs, details whereof are as under :-

- 
- (a) FIR No. 265/16 under Sections 323/354/509 IPC registered at Police Station Delhi Cantt and
 - (b) FIR No. 266/16 under Sections 323/354/509/34 IPC registered at Police Station Delhi Cantt.

Petitioners and respondent no.2, in both the cases, are related to each other. A quarrel took place between them on some trivial matter which led to registration of above-noted FIRs. With the intervention of their common friends and relatives, petitioners and respondent no.2 in both the cases have settled their disputes amicably. Both the respondent no. 2 are present in Court and have been identified by SI Sunita Kumari of police station Delhi Cantt. Both the respondent no.2 submit that they have settled the matter with the petitioners of their own free will and without any undue force, pressure or coercion. They further submit that they have no objection in case the present FIRs are quashed.

Keeping in mind that petitioners and respondent no.2 have settled their disputes in both the cases and complainants have no objection in quashing of the FIRs, in the interest of justice, FIR No. 265/16 under Sections 323/354/509 IPC and FIR No. 266/16 under Sections 323/354/509/34 IPC both registered at Police Station Delhi Cantt and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

3

Both the petitions are disposed of in the above terms.


A.K. PATHAK, J.

MARCH 03, 2017

ga