

\$~42.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 660/2017**

MAHENDER KAUSHIK

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Imran Khan, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Jamal Akhtar, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Mehra, Standing Counsel
along with ASI Jatan Swaroop, PS-K.
Nagar, for the State.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER
02.03.2017

%

Issue notice. Mr. Akhtar accepts notice. He has tendered a status report, which is taken on record.

The petitioner has preferred the present writ petition to assail the rejection order dated 01.02.2017, whereby the petitioner's application for parole has been rejected by the State. The petitioner also seeks a direction that he be released on parole for a period of three months. The grounds for seeking parole are that the petitioner has to be available for admission of his children to another school. The petitioner also seeks parole to re-establish social ties.

The nominal roll shows that the petitioner was lastly released on furlough between 24.10.2016 and 07.11.2016. In the year 2016, he was on furlough on three occasions and parole on one occasion.

The status report shows that the petitioner's wife is 38 years of age. He has one brother, who is 33 years of age, and two grown-up sons, who are 22 years and 21 years of age. His minor son Chhavi Kant Kaushik, aged 12 years has to be admitted in Class-VII and the daughter has to be admitted in college.

The arrangements for their admission to school/ college can be made by the petitioner's wife, brother and the grown-up sons, and the petitioner is not required for the same. His need for re-connecting his social ties has already been met. Thus, it appears that no genuine ground is made out for grant of parole at this stage.

The petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

MARCH 02, 2017

B.S. Rohella