

\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ TEST.CAS. 28/2008 & IA No.5247/2008 (u/O XXXIX R-1&2 CPC)
NEENA BHASIN Petitioner

Through: None.

Versus

STATE & ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Pradeep Kumar, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **19.01.2017**

1. The matter is listed in terms of order dated 21st December, 2016 of the Joint Registrar to the effect that none had been appearing for the petitioner.
2. Today also none appears for the petitioner.
3. The counsel for the respondent no.2(ii) Dinesh Sethi who alone appears states that the petitioner has lost interest in the petition and had in fact in IA No.635/2016 filed by her, under Order XVIII Rule 4 of the CPC and which was disposed of on 19th August, 2016, stated that the petitioner has left for Canada and is unlikely to come back in near future.
4. Dismissed in default.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

JANUARY 19, 2017

‘gsr’..